NATIONAL NARCOTIC OFFICERS'ASSOCIATIONS COALITION

P.O. Box 2456 West Covina, California 91793-2456 • (626) 960-3328 • Fax (626) 960-3328

PRESIDENT

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL www.natlnarc.org

California Narcotics Officers' Assn

VICE PRESIDENT

of New Jersey Robert M. Ferris
County Narcotic Commanders Assn.

TREASURER

orth Carolina Narcotic Enforcement

RECORDING SECRETARY
Allen A. Katcher
New Jersey Narcotic Enforcement
Officers' Assn.

RECEIVED & INSPECTED Ron Nelson Dregon Narcotic Enforcement Officer's Assn.

REGIONAL DIRECTORS

NORTHWEST REGION

SOUTH WEST REGION

FCC - MAILROOM

Illinois Drug Enforcement Officer's Assn Robert Almonte Texas Narcotic Officers' Assn. CENTRAL REGION Michael J. Maley

NORTHEAST REGION

William E. Butka Narcotic Officers' Assn. of Conneticut

SOUTHEAST REGION

Richard M. Sloan - Executive Director

Tim Lane Tennessee Narcotic Officers' Assn.

Arizona Narcotic Officers' Assn. Alabama Narcotic Officers' Assn.

Arkansas Narcotic Officers' Assn.

California Narcotic Officers' Assn.

Connecticut
Narcotic Enforcement
Officers' Assn.

Florida Narcotic Officers' Assn. Georgia Narcotic Officers' Assn

Idaho Narcotic Officers' Assn.

Illinois Drug Enforcement Officers' Assn.

Re:

RM-10865/DA No. 04-700

Kansas Narcotic Officers' Assn. Indiana Drug Enforcement Assn., Inc.

Louisiana Narcotic Officers' Assn.

Minnesota State Assn of Narcotic Investigators

Nevada Narcotic Officer's Assn. Mississippi Narcotic Officer's Assn.

New England Narcotic Enforcement Officers' Assu

New Jersey Narcotic Enforcement Officers'

County Narcotic Commanders Assn. of New Jersey

New York Narcotic Officers' Assn.

North Carolina Narcotic Enforcement Officers' Assn.

Narcotic Assn, of Regional Coordinating Officers of Ohio

Assn. of Oklahoma Narcotic Enforcers

Oregon Narcotics Enforcement Assn.

Pennsylvania Narcotic Officers' Assn.

Puerto Rico Narcotic Officers' Assn. (TERR)

R.I.S.S. Projects

Texas Narcotic Officers' Assn. Tennessee Narcotic Officers' Assn.

Utah Narcotic Officers' Assn

Wisconsin Narcotic Officers' Assn. Washington State Narcotics Investigators' Assn.

April 8, 2004

Secretary Marlene H. Dortch

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20554

Comments on the CALEA Petition for Rulemaking

Dear Secretary Dortch:

more than 60,000 law enforcement officers that they represent to urge the FCC to Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act ("CALEA"). critically Bureau of Investigation's ("FBI"), and U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration's promptly act promptly on the U.S. Department of Justice's ("DOJ"), Communications Commission ("FCC") requesting that the FCC resolve various ("DEA") Joint Petition ("Petition") filed on March 10, 2004, before the Federal I am writing on behalf of the forty state narcotic officers' associations and important issues arising from the implementation of Federal

we do not have the swift support of our government institutions such as the FCC our society more than \$160 billion annually. But we cannot protect Americans if America. An epedemic that kills more than 20,000 persons each year and costs narcotics officers we are also working to stem the flow of illegal drugs into acts committed by gang members, organized crime, and sexual predators. first line of defense against acts of terrorism. We also work to prevent the violent criminal organizations. to allow us to have the technology and procedures to target these sophisticated As you know, America's law enforcement officers provide this nation's

CALEA, It is vitally important, and consistent with Congress's intent in enacting that the FCC initiate a rulemaking proceeding and adopt the rules

No. of Capies rec'd

proposed by the DOJ, FBI, and DEA in the above Petition. Congress enacted CALEA in 1994 to insure that law enforcement has the ability to conduct authorized wiretaps in the future as technologies changed. Since 1994, many new communications technologies have arisen, including broadband Internet access, voice over IP telephony ("VoIP"), push-to-talk digital dispatch services, and other packet mode services. These services, currently used by millions of American citizens, pose a great challenge to state and local law enforcement in that many such providers of these communications services have failed to voluntarily adopt currently available CALEA intercept solutions. Thus, law enforcement has been thwarted in its attempts to implement a lawfully authorized surveillance intercepts. Voluntary industry compliance with CALEA does not work.

Furthermore, state and local law enforcement do not have the financial or personnel resources to develop costly *ad hoc* surveillance solutions for each new communications service. Nor should they have to under the current law. For all equipment, services, and facilities deployed after January 1, 1995, Congress, through CALEA, expressly passed the burden of designing and paying for such surveillance solutions onto the telecommunications carriers themselves.

Given the importance of the issues discussed above, it is important that the FCC promptly act upon the Petition and commence a rulemaking proceeding adopting the DOJ's, DEA's and FBI's proposed rules. Should you require more information you may correspond directly with me at PO Box 36102, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone me at (415) 436-8199 or email me at rbrooks@nca.hidta.net. I appreciate your attention to this matter and stand ready to work with you on this and other matters of mutual concern.

Respectfully submitted,

Rohald E. Brooks

President